

REMARKS

Claims 3, 10, 11, 17, 28, 35, 37, 49, 50, 55, 63, 64, 68 were previously rewritten in independent form following the decision of the Board. New claims 80-189 are now presented as reciting claim features found in dependent claims canceled by Examiner's amendment but originally searched and considered during examination, and to which no rejections under §112 were raised. As these claims are now presented as depending from claims to which no prior art rejection is now pending, Applicant respectfully submits that their consideration and entry by the Examiner should present no unreasonable burden at this stage of examination.

To assist in such consideration, Applicant presents the following correlation of the recited features of new dependent claims to original dependent claims:

Dependent claims 80-93, which now depend from claim 3, are identical in wording to original claims 2, 5-9, 12-16, and 24-26 that depended from claim 1;

Dependent claims 94-107, which now depend from claim 10, are identical in wording to original claims 2, 5-9, 12-16, and 24-26 that depended from claim 1;

Dependent claims 108-121, which depend from claim 11, are identical in wording to original claims 2, 5-9, 12-16, and 24-26 that depended from claim 1;

Dependent claims 122-134, which depend from claim 17, are identical in wording to original claims 2, 5-9, 12-15, and 24-26 that depended from claim 1;

Dependent claims 135-142, which depend from claim 28, are identical in wording to original claims 30-34 and 45-47 that depended from claim 27;

Dependent claims 143-150, which depend from claim 35, are identical in wording to original claims 30-34 and 45-47 that depended from claim 27;

Dependent claims 151-158, which depend from claim 37, are identical in wording to original claims 30-34 and 45-47 that depended from claim 27;

Dependent claims 159-162, which depend from claim 49, are identical in wording to original claims 51-53 and 60 that depended from claim 48;

Dependent claims 163-166, which depend from claim 50, are identical in wording to original claims 51-53 and 60 that depended from claim 48;

Dependent claims 167-170, which depend from claim 55, are identical in wording to original claims 51-53 and 60 that depended from claim 48;

Dependent claims 171-172, which depend from claim 63, are identical in wording to original claims 65-66 that depended from claim 61;

Dependent claims 173-174, which depend from claim 64, are identical in wording to original claims 65-66 that depended from claim 61;

Dependent claims 175-176, which depend from claim 68, are identical in wording to original claims 65-66 that depended from claim 61; and

Dependent claims 177-189, which depend from claim 3, 10, 11, 17, 28, 35, 37, 49, 50, 55, 63, 64, and 68, respectively, are identical in wording to original claims 76-79 (all identical) that depended from claims 1, 27, 48, and 61 respectively.

Each of the aforementioned new claims depend from corresponding previously presented independent claims 3, 10, 11, 17, 28, 35, 37, 49, 50, 55, 63, 64, and 68.

Prosecution was noted as otherwise closed. Applicant thanks the Examiner for his consideration and entry of this amendment prior to issuance of a notice of allowance or further action.

No claim fees are believed due. Please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050, referencing attorney docket number 05918-340001.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 15, 2008

/Brett A. Krueger/

Brett A. Krueger

Reg. No. 54,243

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945